Experimental analysis of fire resistance of mortar coatings on structural masonry walls
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Abstract
The discussion on fire safety is necessary in Brazilian buildings. Current legislation requires construction with compartmentalized areas separated by walls with structural ceramic blocks capable of resisting fire for an amount of time pre-determined in norm NBR 14432 (ABNT, 2001). However, a lack of building standards requires experimental tests according to NBR 5628 (ABNT, 2001) to determine the necessary configuration to achieve fire resistance. For this purpose, this study analyzed the effect of fire on structural walls covered with a mortar coating. Experiments were conducted in real scale in a standardized vertical oven and the fire growth curve of ISO 834 (ISO, 1999). Three types of walls were tested, each with a different mortar coating: (a) lime; (b) 0.6 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber and 1.2 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber. The mortar coatings were 1.5 cm thick on the side facing the fire and 2.5 cm thick in the outside. The wall was composed of structural blocks measuring 14 cm x 19 cm x 29 cm. Fire experiments evaluated the structure stability, impermeability to hot gases and smoke and thermal insulation of each sample. Results showed that the structural system with 1.2 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber mortar coating obtained the best thermal insulation effect with the longest fire resistance time of 176 min.
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Introduction
Ceramic block masonry is an alternative building technique for vertical structural systems (Anicer, 2015) attractive in some countries due to its low cost and satisfactory mechanical performance (Camacho, 2006; Agopyan et al., 2009). However, while mechanical performance guaranteed the physical integrity of the construction, resistance to high temperatures must also be determined (ABNT NBR 15575:2013; EN 1996:2012). When exposed to high temperatures,
internal structural changes caused an increase in internal tensions and reduced the mechanical properties of ceramic blocks (Costa & Silva, 2006). To some extent, ceramic materials were able to mitigate these effects due to their low thermal expansion and thermal conductivity (around 0.25 W/m∙K) (Ayala, 2010). Ceramic blocks fired at temperatures of up to 1,000 °C caused the clay to undergo internal physiochemical reactions that intensified re-crystallization of new ceramic phases and induced glazing. However, temperatures higher than that led to mechanical resistance loss in the clay (Pinheiro & Holanda, 2010).

Mortars used between blocks or as coating, while contributing to the structural performance of masonry, affected the temperature distribution of ceramic blocks since they acted as thermal barriers reducing temperature variations (Ingham, 2009). Coating mortars were also passive to damage from high temperature which caused changes in its structure, mass loss and flaking that exposed the structural blocks directly to heat. Studies were conducted to increase the adhesiveness of mortar coatings to structural blocks and reduce cracking, thus preserving the integrity of the coating under heating (Silva & Barros, 2007; Karahan, 2011; Zhang et al., 2016.). To this end, materials with insulating properties were added to mortar coatings such as lime (Pachta, Triantafyllaki, Stefanidou, 2018) and polypropylene fibers (Pacheco et al., 2016; Al-Hadhrami & Ahmad, 2008). Lime increased the resistance to traction (Oliveira, 2001) which affected how the coating responded to thermo-mechanical deformations like thermal bowing (Russo & Sciarretta, 2012). Polypropylene fibers incorporated in mortar decreased the appearance of fissures, spalling and deformation rate and increased resistance (Zhang et al., 2016). Additionally, melting fibers under heating created empty spaces which acted as insulation and reduced heat transfer (Ezziane et al., 2015).

According to Nguyen & Meftah (2012) and Andrade & Tutikian (2011), horizontal displacements of structural masonry were of lower amplitude than veneer masonry. This was a result of constraints placed between the base and ceiling of the structure by loading. This is shown in Figure 1 as the unloaded masonry wall deforms more under heating when compared to a loaded wall. Deformations in structural masonry caused by thermal loads were transmitted to the plaster lining causing fissures, detachment and flaking.

![Figure 1. Deformations of masonry subjected to fire: without load (left) and under load (right). Source: Nguyen & Meftah (2012).](image1)

Regarding solid materials, Rigão (2012) and Gil et al. (2017) cited that heat transfer was not immediate due to their capacity to absorb and dissipate heat. However, in the case of ceramic blocks containing internal empty partitions, heat transfer became a combined radiation and conduction mechanism which retarded heat transfer and created a thermal insulation effect as seen in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Heat transfer mechanisms in hollow blocks. Source: adapted by Souza (2017) from Nguyen & Meftah (2012).](image2)
Mortar behavior under heating can be better understood by the initial loss of mass from drying, followed by ettringite decomposition and C-S-H (calcium silicate hydrate) dehydration. From then, effects would then continue to mass loss of C-S-H, portlandite decomposition and finally to total degradation of portlandite and chemical reactions. Karahan (2011) tested mortar made from cement and sand heated to elevated temperatures and kept afterwards for 24 h under normal conditions. Results determined that compression resistance was measured as 92% of the reference value at 400 °C, 28% of the reference value at 800 °C and 6% of the reference value at 1,000 °C. Rigão (2012) noted that at temperatures around 900 °C, mortars tended to lose all resistance. In the case of mortar between blocks heated to this temperature, system rupture would occur due to mortar squashing even if the blocks remained unbroken. Ingham (2009) and Pacheco et al. (2018) agreed that compression resistance decreased in structural masonry due to mortar deterioration under heating.

Polypropylene fibers were added to cement mixtures to increase toughness and to make the material more resistant to deformations and fissuring (Silva and Barros, 2007; Tiscoski & F Antunes, 2007; Dawood & Ramli, 2011; Zhang et al., 2016). Additional effects included rheological changes that affected adhesiveness of coatings (Monte; Barros; Figueiredo, 2012) and resistance to traction and compression (Bendjillali et al., 2011). Amaral et al. (2012) noted that artificial polymer fibers added to concrete and cement mortars had advantageous effects at high temperatures. The fibers increased water retention and, by extension, porosity and thermal insulation (Monte; Barros; Figueiredo, 2012; Centofante & Dagostini, 2014). Polypropylene fiber deterioration, with melting starting at around 150 °C and ending around 400 °C, created pores that acted as pathways for water evaporation. Centofante & Dagostini (2014) measured an increase of 18% in resistance to traction and flexing in mortar with added fiber. However, Tiscoski & Antunes (2007) also reported added resistance to adhesiveness of mortars with added fiber when compared to those without.

Magalhães & Veiga (2005) studied the effect of mortars with added lime. Results showed increased adhesiveness when compared to a cement-only based mortar and increased water retention due to the fineness of lime particles. Arandigoyen & Alvarez (2007) concluded that mortars rich in limestone had a plastic behavior zone and were able to better absorb substantial structural displacements. On the other hand, improvements in the Young modulus of mortar with polypropylene fibers for temperatures up to 500 °C were identified by Ezjiane et al. (2015).

Ceramic materials in building blocks, having undergone firing, did not suffer micro structural changes when heated to temperatures lower than the same 950 °C reached during production (Rigão, 2012). However, mortar coatings which were used to protect ceramic blocks underwent chemical transformations at lower temperatures and had its mechanical performance degraded (Morsy et al., 2012; Yazici; Sezer; Sengul, 2012). Consequently, this study was conducted in order to ascertain improvements in fire resistance of structural walls with mortar coatings. The experiments were conducted in real scale on three walls with coatings containing lime and two types of polypropylene fibers. All walls were constructed with the same ceramic block base and subjected to a standard fire growth curve defined in ISO 834 (ISO, 1999).

Materials and methods

Vertical Structural Systems

The vertical structural systems used in this study consisted of wall samples 3.00 m in width and 2.80 m in height. The walls were constructed from ceramic blocks (Table 1) 14 cm x 19 cm x 29 cm (depth x height x width) with characteristic compression resistance of 7 MPa and industrialized mortar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometric characteristics</th>
<th>Dimensions (mm)</th>
<th>Flatness (mm)</th>
<th>Static lean (mm)</th>
<th>Wetted area (mm²)</th>
<th>Surface area (mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption</td>
<td>Initial absorption rate (g/193.55cm²)/min</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total absorption (%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical resistance</td>
<td>Compression (MPa)</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Ceramic blocks characteristics. Source: elaborated by the authors.
The mortar coatings used are described in Table 2. The effective minimal area exposed to fire was of 8.4 m² and a constant load of 10 tf/m was applied throughout heating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coating 1 - C1</td>
<td>ratio 1:5 (cement: sand by volume) with 60 kg/m³ lime addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating 2 - C2</td>
<td>ratio 1:5 (cement: sand by volume) with 0.6 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating 3 - C3</td>
<td>ratio 1:5 (cement: sand by volume) with 1.2 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber addition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each wall was constructed in a movable gate for ease of coupling to the vertical oven as seen in Figure 4 and cured for 90 days prior to testing.

Figure 4. Wall construction and coupling to vertical oven. Source: elaborated by the authors.

### Types of Mortar Coating

The walls were constructed with two types of mortars. Mortar applied between blocks (A) was produced with a mixing ratio of 1:0.4:7.4 (cement, lime and sand in volume) while mortar coatings (C1, C2 and C3) were composed with a mixing ratio of 1:5 (cement and sand in volume) and 3 types of additives as shown in Table 2. Characteristics of fresh, dry and mortar classification are shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5, respectively. The thickness of the coating was of 1.5 on the inside exposed to fire and 2.5 cm in the outside. The polypropylene fibers used as additives were of monofilament type, 12 mm in length and with high alkaline resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spreading consistency of concrete (mm)</td>
<td>NBR 13276 (ABNT, 2016)</td>
<td>254 212 227 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air content (%)</td>
<td>NBR NM 47 (ABNT, 2002)</td>
<td>4.4 3.8 3.4 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>NBR 13278 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>1,501.6 1,836.4 1,793.2 1,754.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Characteristics of dry mortars. Source: elaborated by the authors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compression resistance (MPa)</td>
<td>NBR 13279 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>A 2.2 C1 4.56 C2 1.97 C3 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to traction and flexing</td>
<td>NBR 13279 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>C1 1.52 C2 2.06 C3 0.75 C4 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>NBR 13280 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>1,501.55 1,836.36 1,793.24 1,754.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary absorption, 10 min (g/cm²)</td>
<td>NBR 15259 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>1.13 0.19 0.49 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary absorption, 90 min (g/cm²)</td>
<td>NBR 15259 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>1.28 0.8 1.1 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of capillary absorption</td>
<td>NBR 15259 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>2.44 9.77 9.75 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g/dm².min½)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Void space (%)</td>
<td>NBR 15259 (ABNT, 2005)</td>
<td>41.48 22.3 34.56 35.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total absorption (%)</td>
<td>NBR 9778 (ABNT, 2009)</td>
<td>32.29 12.62 21.22 21.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Classification of mortars. Source: elaborated by the authors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compression (MPa)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traction and flexing (MPa)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1,501.6</td>
<td>M4</td>
<td>1,836.4</td>
<td>M5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of capillary absorption</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g/dm².min½)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1,696.07</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>1,893.19</td>
<td>D5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrumentation

A Leica TS15 total station was used with a mesh of 49 virtual points to monitor structural stability and deformations on the walls during experiments. A thermographic camera FLIR A320 was used to monitor surface temperatures along with thermocouples installed in the inside of the oven and on the surface of the walls. Internal temperatures were measured with 9 thermocouples installed at different depths and locations within the center of the wall, as seen in Figure 5. In total, 19 thermocouples were used to measure temperatures.

Figure 5. Thermocouple locations within the wall. Source: elaborated by the authors.
Fire Resistance Experiments

The walls were subjected to fire exposure conditions and evaluated with regards to structural stability, impermeability to gases and smoke and heat insulation following the criteria of ISO 834-1 (ISO, 1999) and NBR 5628 (ABNT, 2001).

Results and discussion

The mortar characteristics presented in Table 4 were obtained following norm NBR 13281 (ABNT, 2005). Mortar used between blocks (A) had a measured compression resistance of 2.2 MPa which contributed to a structural stability loss to the systems. As for the mortar coatings, polypropylene fiber addition (C2 and C3) presented low resistance to traction and flexing which also resulted in low adhesiveness to the wall during fire resistance experiments.

Fire Resistance

Fire resistance time for samples C1, C2 and C3 were measured to be 130 min, 162 min and 176 min, respectively. These times corresponded to the collapse of the structural systems as shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Structural collapse of mortar linings under heating. Source: elaborated by the authors.

(a) Lime lining at 130 mins  (b) 0.6 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber lining at 162 mins  (c) 1.2 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber lining at 176 mins

Figure 7 shows thermographic images of the sides of each sample not exposed to fire moments prior to structural collapse.

Figure 7. Thermographic images of the outside face of the systems not exposed to fire moments before structural collapse. Source: elaborated by the authors.

(a) Lime lining at 130 mins  (b) 0.6 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber lining at 162 mins  (c) 1.2 kg/m³ polypropylene fiber lining at 176 mins

Figure 8 shows the temperature profiles at selected depth positions (d) along internal partitions of the wall for each sample. A decrease in the temperature gradient was observed with increasing depth with substantial drops from positions d = 0 cm to d = 3 cm and positions d = 10 cm to d = 14 cm. This was a result of solid material absorbing and
dissipating heat, thus causing a time delay in heat transfer. The least reduction in thermal gradient and consequently poorer thermal isolation performance was observed between positions d = 3 cm and d = 10 cm due to the presence of a large empty cell which prompted a mixed convection-radiation heat transfer mode. Based on this result, it could be concluded that the inside and outside wall faces were more thermally insulating as the other layers due to their balance of solid material and empty space. This suggested that blocks with a more homogenous distribution of empty spaces should offer better fire resistance performance.

Figure 8. Temperature profiles with respect to time and depth through the wall. Source: elaborated by the authors.

Position d = 0 cm presented a temperature profile similar to the standard experimental curve probably due to flaking of the internal face of the wall. At this location, lime mortar coating performed better than the polypropylene fiber samples. Internal wall flaking could be related to excessive vertical deformation observed once the wall became loaded as seen in Figure 9.

Figure 9. Displacement of wall systems. Source: elaborated by the authors.

The low compression resistance of the mortar applied between blocks resulted in squashing as seen in Figure 10. This resulted in tensions being redirected towards the coating and coupled with the tendency of the wall to curve towards the fire, promoted flaking.
Thermal insulation performance was evaluated from the average and local outside wall temperatures of the 3 samples at 120 min shown in Table 6. There was a significant difference in temperature with sample C1 being much higher than samples C2 and C3. A study by Souza (2017) with similar masonry walls obtained a fire resistance time of 102 min on the bare wall facing the fire but also determined that mortar linings with added fiber performed better as thermal insulation.

Figure 11 shows the time-evolution of temperatures at position $d = 14$ cm on the outside of the walls for the reference and 3 samples. The effect of the external mortar coating became more visible after 90 min as the temperature curves diverged. Samples with added polypropylene fiber performed better as thermal insulation as the fibers started melting at around 150 °C.

This behavior could also be explained by the higher water content in mortars with fiber, which increased void spaces and total absorption reported in Table 4. As noted by Metha & Monteiro (2014), cement mixtures with high water content exposed to high temperatures had slower temperature rises until all water content evaporated. Overall, sample C3 was more efficient when compared to samples C2 and C1.
Conclusion

The structural system with coating C1 performed the least when compared to the other types of coatings with a fire-resistance rating (FRR) of 130 min. Nevertheless, it was able to meet the criteria of structural stability, impermeability to gases and smoke and thermal insulation. Structural systems with coatings C2 and C3 obtained relatively superior performances in accordance to the density of polypropylene fibers with FRRs of 162 min and 176 min, respectively.

It was concluded that lining mortars with added fibers were able to achieve better fire resistance in accordance to the amount added. This was a result of increased water retention which slowed down the temperature rise across the wall. In addition, pores were formed within the mortars which forced a mixed heat transfer mode of conduction and radiation and created a further heat insulation effect.
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